Supplementary Table 5. Sensitivity analysis of the association of ePWV with poor collaterals or poor functional outcome using continuous age adjustment

Outcome	Per 1 m/s increase	P-value	High *vs.* low (>12.6 m/s)	P-value
Poor collaterals				
Model 1	1.191 (1.054–1.347)	0.005	1.925 (1.114–3.326)	0.019
Model 2	1.134 (0.844–1.524)	0.404	1.211 (0.532–2.755)	0.648
Model 3	1.143 (0.849–1.539)	0.378	1.396 (0.605–3.218)	0.434
Model 4	1.211 (0.889–1.649)	0.225	1.473 (0.622–3.486)	0.378
Model 5	1.205 (0.882–1.646)	0.241	1.514 (0.630–3.642)	0.354
Poor functional outcome				
Model 1	1.263 (1.122–1.422)	<0.001	2.817 (1.704–4.656)	<0.001
Model 2	1.041 (0.787–1.377)	0.777	1.682 (0.787–3.597)	0.180
Model 3	1.091 (0.808–1.473)	0.571	1.770 (0.762–4.115)	0.184
Model 4	1.133 (0.835–1.537)	0.423	1.786 (0.749–4.259)	0.191
Model 5	1.182 (0.860–1.626)	0.303	1.615 (0.647–4.033)	0.304

Values are presented as OR (CI). Model 1: unadjusted. Model 2: adjusted for continuous age and sex. Model 3: adjusted for continuous age, sex, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score, onset-to-randomization, and blood pressure management strategy. Model 4: adjusted for continuous age, sex, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score, onset-to-randomization, blood pressure management strategy, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score, and internal carotid artery occlusion. Model 5: Model 4 plus smoking, atrial fibrillation, previous stroke, and stroke subtype.

ePWV, estimated pulse wave velocity; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

https://doi.org/10.5469/neuroint.2026.00822