Embolization Tactics of Spinal Epidural Arteriovenous Fistulas
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Purpose: Spinal epidural arteriovenous fistulas (SEDAVFs) show an epidural venous sac often with venous congestive myelopathy (VCM) due to intradural reflux at a remote level to which a transarterial approach would be difficult. We present 12 cases of SEDAVF with VCM and describe 3 main tactics for effective transarterial embolization.

Materials and Methods: Among 152 patients with spinal vascular malformations diagnosed in our tertiary hospital between 1993 and 2019, 12 SEDAVF patients with VCM were included. Three different transarterial embolization tactics were applied according to the vascular configuration and microcatheter accessibility. We evaluated treatment results and clinical outcomes before and after treatment.

Results: Transarterial embolization with glue (20–30%) was performed in all patients. The embolization tactics applied in 12 patients were preferential flow (n=2), plug-and-push (n=6), and filling of the venous sac (n=4). Total occlusion of the SEDAVF, including intradural reflux, was achieved in 11 (91.7%) of 12 patients, and partial occlusion was achieved in 1 patient. No periprocedural complications were reported. Spinal cord edema was improved in all patients for an average of 18 months after treatment. Clinical functional outcome in terms of the pain, sensory, motor, and sphincter scale and modified Rankin scores improved during a mean 25-month follow-up (6.3 vs. 3.3, P=0.002; 3.6 vs. 2.3, P=0.002, respectively).

Conclusion: Endovascular treatment for 12 SEDAVF patients with VCM achieved a total occlusion rate of 91.7% without any periprocedural complication. The combined embolization tactics can block intradural reflux causing VCM, resulting in overall good clinical outcomes.
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INTRODUCTION

Spinal epidural arteriovenous fistula (SEDAVF) is a rare type of vascular malformation increasingly identified by high-resolution 3-dimensional rotational angiography.1,2 In the classification system of spinal arteriovenous shunt diseases, the concept of SEDAVF has emerged relatively recently, and has been reported as ventral epidural shunts,3 extradural AVFs,4-6 or ventral epidural AVF7; and finally, it is now commonly referred to as an epidural AVF.8 SEDAVFs are characterized by an epidural venous sac, usually located in the ventral epidural space, multiple bilateral feeders, and the absence of horizontal
T-sign, which is a typical sign of spinal dural arteriovenous fistula (SDAVF). SEDAVFs result from direct venous arterialization of the epidural venous plexus by the epidural arterial branches of the ascending cervical, vertebral, intercostal, lumbar, or sacroiliac arteries. The shunt flow drains first into the epidural venous sac and then to the paravertebral vein and, in some cases, retrogradely into the intradural vein. In contrast, SDAVF is fed by a feeder in most cases and located dorsally within the dural sleeve between the radiculomeningeal artery and radicular or bridging veins without forming a dilated epidural venous sac.

The main goal of treatment is to alleviate neurological symptoms caused by venous congestive myelopathy by occluding the epidural venous pouch or the culprit vein that causes intradural reflux. Endovascular treatment with a transarterial approach can be performed if the arterial feeders supplying a small epidural venous sac have a relatively straight course, especially in the non-osseous type of SEDAVF. However, SEDAVF with multiple feeders and a venous pouch may require different strategies from the conventional techniques using free flow or an induced wedge method, which are techniques for SDAVF. Transvenous embolization may be selectively performed in cases involving difficult arterial access, large epidural venous sacs, or azygous drainage. Nevertheless, it is often technically challenging depending on the venous outflow restriction and variable paths to the paraspinal longitudinal vein. A ventrally-located orientation of SEDAVF with a large confluent venous pouch, which embryologically mimics a cavernous sinus DAVF, may preclude a surgical approach.

We present 12 cases of SEDAVF with venous congestive myelopathy (VCM) and describe 3 different embolization tactics to overcome the distance from the microcatheter tip to the epidural venous sac or intradural reflux point. We also evaluated clinical outcomes based on the pain, sensory, motor, and sphincter (PSMS) scale and modified Rankin score (mRS) before and after endovascular treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection
The Institutional Review Board at our medical center approved this retrospective study and waived the requirement to obtain written informed consent from the patients. Among 152 spinal vascular malformations from a prospectively maintained database from a single tertiary hospital between January 1993 and September 2019, 21 patients were diagnosed and confirmed for a SEDAVF by digital subtraction spinal angiography. We included 12 non-osseous SEDAVF patients with VCM, excluding 4 without VCM and 5 osseous SEDAVF, in which transvenous coiling was the main procedure of choice for the large venous sac in the bony defect. The 12 patients included 9 males and 3 females with a mean age of 59 years (range, 33–83 years). Some of these patients have been previously described. Patient data, including clinical presentation, neurologic assessment, and follow-up results, were obtained from the database connected to the electronic medical record system.

We classified the patient presentations as myelopathy, radiculopathy, or myeloradiculopathy. Myelopathy was defined as a neurologic deficit related to spinal cord disease and included motor and sensory deficits, gait disturbance, or sphincter dysfunction. Radiculopathy was defined as a range of symptoms produced by pinching a nerve root in the spinal column following specific dermatomal distribution. Finally, radiculomyelopathy was defined as a range of symptoms, including those of radiculopathy and myelopathy. We categorized functional disability according to the PSMS scale and mRS. The scores were based on the records of neurologists or neurointerventionists.

Imaging diagnosis
SEDAVF was defined as an arteriovenous shunt in the spinal epidural space fed by epidural arterial branches, draining primarily into the epidural venous sac and subsequently into the paravertebral veins with or without intradural reflux. Digital subtraction spinal angiography (Artis zee; Siemens, Forchheim, Germany) with selective angiography or 3-dimensional rotational angiography (3DRA) was used for localization and characterization of the SEDAVF, including the relationship between feeding arteries, epidural venous sacs, epidural venous drainage, and the point of intradural reflux and the venous drainage pattern. Spinal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed for the initial assessment of the disease. Axial and sagittal images of T1- and T2-weighted sequences with or without contrast enhancement were obtained with 1.5T or 3T systems. VCM was defined when MRI revealed spinal cord edema and perimedullary flow voids. Compressive myelopathy was defined as when there was a cord signal change due to cord compression from an epidural lesion. Patients who needed
imaging evaluation clinically after treatment underwent follow-up MRI. Follow-up spinal MRI was performed to assess disease outcomes.

**Endovascular treatments with 3 embolization tactics**

Endovascular embolization via the transarterial approach was considered primarily when the vascular approach to the fistular site was accessible. The location of the intradural reflux was the main target for embolization to prevent retrograde venous drainage leading to VCM. We approached the fistula point as close as possible using a low-profile microcatheter to achieve a wedged position, and no other flow control techniques were used. We used glue that was a mixture of N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (Histoacryl; B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany) mixed with Lipiodol (Guerbet, Roissy, France). We also used detachable or pushable coils to reduce collateral inflow or support the microcatheter in a sharp curve.

Three technical strategies, including preferential flow, plug-and-push, and filling of the venous sac, were considered depending on the distance from the microcatheter tip to the epidural venous sac or intradural reflux point. The preferential flow technique is used when the arterial feeder com-
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**Fig. 1.** Preferential flow technique for a ventrally located spinal epidural arteriovenous fistula at the L2 level (patient 10). Anteroposterior view of the right second lumbar segmental arteriography (A) shows multiple feeders and a dilated venous sacs (short arrows) and intradural venous reflux (long arrow) causing congestive venous myelopathy. (B) Selective angiography was performed via a microcatheter (short arrow) to identify preferential flow into the intradural venous reflux (long arrow). The same view, (C) obtained after glue embolization. Note the glue cast (white color in C) obliterating the intradural venous reflux by the preferential flow. Post-embolization angiography (D) shows no filling of the intradural venous reflex despite the remaining epidural shunts. (E) The schematic diagram shows the preferential flow technique requires an adjusted selection of a proper microcatheter (green color) site to deliver embolic material into the intradural venous reflux. Black color: glue cast, Black ring: intradural venous reflux point, Green color: microcatheter.
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**Fig. 2.** Plug-and-push technique for spinal epidural arteriovenous fistula at the L3 level (case 12). (A) Volume-rendering 3-dimensional (3D) spinal angiography showing the dilated venous sac (long arrow) located in the left ventrolateral epidural space and the connected intradural vein (short arrow). (B) Fusion 3D images of both sides showing ventral epidural collateral via the contralateral dorsal somatic artery (short arrow) and intradural reflux point (long arrow). (C) Selective angiography at the proximal feeder (arrowhead) showing multiple fine arteries that were not visible in the 3D angiography converged to the venous sac (asterisk) and a retrograde filling of the contralateral feeder (arrow), which was protected by a coil. (D) After coil protection of the epidural collateral (short arrow), the glue was injected at the position (arrowhead) as distal as possible to penetrate the dilated venous sac (asterisk) using the plug-and-push technique. Note the proximal plug at the proximal segmental artery (long arrow). (E) The schematic diagram shows the plug-and-push technique in front of the shunt to make proximal and side-branch plugs (grey color) so that the glue is then advanced against rather high pressure from the other feeders to fill the epidural venous sac. Black color: glue cast, Black bordered mesh: coil to prevent collateral inflow, Black ring: intradural venous reflux point, Green color: microcatheter, Grey color: plugs against the proximal feeder pedicle as well as the other feeders to propagate glue cast into the epidural venous sac.
prises several fine channels, and a further approach is not possible (Fig. 1). On a selective angiography or fluoroscopic exploration, the position of the microcatheter tip should be adjusted to reach the best point where the flow preferentially enters the intradural vein. Then, the embolic agent is released continuously from the tip of the microcatheter under the antegrade preferential free flow until the opening of the intradural venous drainage is occluded. The plug-and-push technique is used when there are inflows from other feeders between the microcatheter tip and the fistula even though the microcatheter advanced as close as possible to the fistula (Fig. 2). To prevent the flow of a relatively high-pressure gradient from other feeders and to form a plug, several discontinuous injections of a small volume of embolic material are required until reaching the opening of the intradural vein. A venous sac filling technique is used where there is no inflow from another feeder in the path (Fig. 3). Embolic material is continuously injected into the large confluent epidural venous sac to obliterate shunt flow and deliver the material to the opening of the intradural venous drainage to prevent possible recruitments of venous inflow from other feeders mainly located in the large epidural venous (fistular) sac.

Complete occlusion was defined as either occlusion of the opening of the culprit vein where intradural reflux occurred or complete obliteration of the epidural venous (fistular) sac from which connected to remote intradural reflux point, and to which every feeder was recruited. Partial occlusion was defined as residual flow into the intradural vein or delayed opacification of the fistula in the venous phase.

**Outcome assessments and statistical considerations**

We used the PSMS scale and mRS to assess the patients’ neurologic symptoms and functional abilities at every follow-up.\(^1\)\(^7\) We evaluated the clinical outcome by comparing the scores assessed immediately before the procedure and the most recent outpatient follow-up. The matched ordinal scores of mRS and PSMS before and after the procedure were compared using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. A P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 15.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Baseline characteristics**

The mean duration from symptom onset to diagnosis was 10 months (range, 1 to 36 months) (Table 1). All patients had intradural venous drainage and complained of lower extremity weakness or sphincter dysfunction due to congest-
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**Fig. 3.** Filling of venous sac technique for spinal epidural arteriovenous fistula at the S1 level (case 1). (A) Angiogram of the right internal iliac artery showing an epidural shunt at the left S1 level draining into a dilated venous sac regurgitating into the intradural venous reflux (arrow). (B) Selective right lateral sacral arteriography showing a feeder (short arrow). Note filling of the opposite dorsal somatic branch via the epidural collateral (long arrow). (C) Selective microcatheter angiography at the wedged position after coil protection for the epidural channel shows a venous sac (asterisk) connected to the radicular vein with intradural venous reflux (arrow). (D) Glue cast filling the venous sac (asterisk) obliterated the fistula using a continuous venous sac filling strategy. (E) The schematic diagram of filling the dilated epidural venous sac with rather low resistance, which drains into the culprit vein. The intradural reflux point is at a different segmental level (black ring). Black color: glue cast, Black bordered mesh: coil to prevent collateral inflow, Black ring: intradural venous reflux point, Green color: microcatheter, Grey color: plugs against the proximal feeder pedicle as well as the other feeders to propagate glue cast into the epidural venous sac (asterisk).
tive myelopathy. One patient (case 5) had a history of trauma (L1 vertebral body fracture), and 2 had a history of L4-5 discectomy (case 10 and 11).

Imaging diagnosis and endovascular treatment
The diagnosis was confirmed by selective angiography or 3DRA. In all patients, an epidural venous sac with both intradural and extradural drainages was observed. The fistula levels were distributed in the cervical (n=1), thoracic (n=1), lumbar (n=8), and sacral (n=2) regions. The locations of the venous sac in the epidural space were ventral in 7 patients and lateral in 5 patients. All patients had spinal cord edema on spinal MRI, suggesting VCM.

In all patients, transarterial embolization with 20–30% glue was performed. Adjuvant coils were used to prevent collateral inflow from opposite or different-level segmental arteries (n=3) and support the microcatheter at the sharp turning point of the branch (n=1). Three embolization techniques were employed: using preferential flow (n=2), plug-and-push (n=4), and filling of the venous sac (n=4) (Figs. 1–3, respectively).

Complete occlusion of the fistula or intradural drainage vein was achieved in 11 patients (91.7%). In 1 patient with partial occlusion (case 3), delayed opacification of the intradural vein and much decreased shunt flow were noticed after embolization; however, minimal residual flow remained due to insufficient glue penetration. No periprocedural complications were reported.

Outcomes
The mean clinical follow-up duration after treatment was 25 months (range, 9–91 months). The PSMS and mRS scores improved in all patients except for 1 patient (case 5) who did not show improvement despite complete occlusion of the fistula level. The overall mean PSMS and mRS scores improved significantly at 6 months after the embolization (P=0.002, respectively). Regarding MRI findings, spinal cord edema completely disappeared (n=10) or improved (n=2) after embolization at a mean of 18 months follow-up after the embolization.

DISCUSSION
Endovascular treatment can be an effective method for the treatment of a SEDAVF. In previous reports, the complete obliteration rates of a SEDAVF ranged from 73.3% to 94.4%.

Table 1. Summary of the patients with spinal epidural arteriovenous fistula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Symptom duration</th>
<th>Fistula level</th>
<th>Initial PSMS</th>
<th>Initial mRS</th>
<th>Embolic material</th>
<th>Embolization tactics</th>
<th>Occlusion state</th>
<th>FU duration</th>
<th>FU PSMS</th>
<th>FU mRS</th>
<th>FU MRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63/M</td>
<td>7 m</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>P0S2M2S2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NBCA 28%</td>
<td>Filling of the venous sac</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>91 m</td>
<td>P0S2M1S1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Resolved at 91 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>T9</td>
<td>P2S1M1S5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NBCA 20%</td>
<td>Filling of the venous sac</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18 m</td>
<td>P0S0M0S0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Resolved at 18 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>33/F</td>
<td>5 w</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>P1S1M2S1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NBCA 25%</td>
<td>Filling of the venous sac</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>37 m</td>
<td>P0S1M0S0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Resolved at 37 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>61/M</td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>P0S2M2S2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NBCA 25%</td>
<td>Filling of the venous sac</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19 m</td>
<td>P1S1M2S1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Resolved at 19 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>68/M</td>
<td>24 m</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>P2S2M2S1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NBCA 28%</td>
<td>Plug-and-push</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20 m</td>
<td>P2S2M2S1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Improved VCM at 1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>63/F</td>
<td>3 m</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>P0S2M1S1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NBCA 28%</td>
<td>Preferential flow</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14 m</td>
<td>P0S1M0S0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Resolved at 14 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>83/M</td>
<td>15 m</td>
<td>L5</td>
<td>P1S2M3S3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NBCA 28%</td>
<td>Plug-and-push</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33 m</td>
<td>P0S1M3S3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Resolved at 5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>58/M</td>
<td>2 m</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>P0S2M1S0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NBCA 20%</td>
<td>Plug-and-push</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19 m</td>
<td>P0S1M0S0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Resolved at 7 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>68/M</td>
<td>4 m</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>P0S2M2S2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NBCA 20%</td>
<td>Plug-and-push</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17 m</td>
<td>P0S1M1S1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Resolved at 5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>61/M</td>
<td>36 m</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>P1S3M2S1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NBCA 20%</td>
<td>Plug-and-push</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13 m</td>
<td>P0S1M1S1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Resolved at 4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>54/M</td>
<td>24 m</td>
<td>L4</td>
<td>P2S2M1S1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NBCA 20%</td>
<td>Preferential flow</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14 m</td>
<td>P1S2M1S0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Resolved at 15 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>59/F</td>
<td>24 m</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>P3S3M3S3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NBCA 28%</td>
<td>Plug-and-push</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9 m</td>
<td>P0S1M2S1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Improved VCM at 1 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; m, month; w, week; C, cervical; L, lumber; T, thoracic; S, sacral; mRS, modified Rankin score; PSMS, pain, sensory, motor, sphincter; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NBCA, N-butyl cyanoacrylate; FU, follow-up; VCM, venous congestive myelopathy.
and neurological symptoms improved in up to 91% of cases after endovascular treatment.\textsuperscript{21,22} Our study of 12 SEDAVF patients with VCM showed comparable results with a complete obliteration rate of 91.7%, and clinical outcomes in terms of PSMS and mRS scores were improved in 91.7% of the patients. In a patient whose symptoms did not improve despite good treatment results, the time from symptom onset to treatment was 24 months, possibly resulting in irreversible spinal cord damage. Considering our result, conceptualization of the 3 embolization techniques could help in getting better outcomes. Although a recently published study of SEDAVF reported that the initial treatment success rate of endovascular treatment (69%) was lower than that of surgery (92.5%),\textsuperscript{23} endovascular treatment using these embolization tactics may still be a good option considering its minimal invasiveness and comparable clinical outcomes.\textsuperscript{21,22,24}

We applied the 3 tactics (preferential flow, plug-and-push, and filling of the venous sac) depending on the microcatheter position and the relationship with other feeders. We performed 3DRA and obtained fusion images from the opposite or adjacent levels to understand the relationships among the feeders reaching the fistulas and venous drainage, especially into the intradural components. The distance from the epidural venous sac to the point of intradural reflux is usually far remote beyond the fistular sac and is crucial for the treatment during the transarterial approach. The culprit vein that shows intradural venous reflux causing VCM should be blocked even in situations where the lesion is too extensive or difficult to occlude all the fistular flows. When the epidural shunt remains, however, it may recruit another intradural venous drainage, especially when the epidural vein receiving the feeder extends over several vertebral levels. When there are multiple feeders, the feeders usually converge to a large epidural venous fistular sac for the embolization target, except in some cases in which numerous feeders diverge into the venous channels without forming a single dilated epidural venous fistular sac. Therefore, embolization may require different strategies in each case using different embolization tactics.

We essentially tried to achieve a wedged position of the microcatheter, and in doing so arrest antegrade flow of the feeder by blocking the vessel lumen with a microcatheter tip. However, flow control techniques such as an induced-wedge or proximal coil-protected technique using another microcatheter and coil may be a good option when the ideal microcatheter position cannot be achieved.\textsuperscript{11,25}

The plug-and-push technique that we used is mainly used to block the inflow from other feeders, unlike the technique using onyx, which generally prevents reflux into the parent artery. A combined technique or transvenous embolization may be necessary in cases involving large epidural venous sacs fed by numerous feeders with epidural or paravertebral drainage or in an osseous-type SEDAVF.\textsuperscript{26}

Embolic materials seem to be varied according to the operator’s preference. We preferred to use a 20–30% concentration glue for easy control, short injection time, better visibility, and more thrombogenicity than others. In contrast, other centers preferred onyx embolization material over glue during trans-arterial embolization, which tends to permeate the venous side of the fistula and fill other arterial feeding vessels in a retrograde fashion. Also, Onyx can be delivered more slowly than glue with a more controlled injection under fluoroscopy. The superior penetration of the fistula with Onyx, when compared with glue, allows one to avoid distal catheterization. Onyx is a non-thrombogenic embolic material that allows for better packing of the epidural venous sac without the risk of thrombus formation.\textsuperscript{27}

One limitation of this study was its retrospective design with a relatively small number of patients due to the rarity of vascular malformation and shared data with previous publications. Furthermore, not all patients underwent postoperative follow-up by spinal angiography, as we did not perform routine imaging unless clinical improvement was not shown.

**CONCLUSION**

Endovascular treatment for 12 SEDAVF patients with VCM achieved a total occlusion rate of 91.7% without any periprocedural complication. The 3 different embolization tactics (preferential flow, plug-and-push, and filling of the venous sac) were used to effectively block intradural reflux causing VCM. Clinical outcomes were improved in all patients except for 1 patient who did not show improvement despite good treatment results, possibly due to a late presentation after symptom onset.
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